UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/808,312	03/25/2004	Takafumi Ueno	011350-332	5636	
	7590 04/30/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER			
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	FLICK, JASON E			
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22313-1404		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

Office Action Summary		Application	Application No.		Applicant(s)			
		10/808,31	2	UENO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		JASON FL	.ICK	3763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 14 January 200	a					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 January 2009</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3)□		/—		s prosecution as to the	e merits is			
ا ال	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims		,	.,				
· · ·		in the application						
	Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9-17</u> is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	equirement					
		on and, or election is	Admontonic.					
Applicati	on Papers							
•	The specification is objected to by the		_					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 March 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objecti							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/14/2009</u> .	O-948)	Paper No(s)/M	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date rmal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/808,312 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Examiner acknowledges the reply filed on 1/14/2009 in which claims 1, 7, 9-12, and 15-17 were amended. Claim 8 has been cancelled. Currently, claims 1-7 and 9-17 are pending for examination in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Eggers et al. (USPN 6,106,524).
- 4. [Claims 1, 6, 7, 9, and 10] Eggers teaches a catheter to be percutaneously inserted into a living body lumen, said catheter (figure 8a, item 60) comprising: a sheath portion (figure 8a, item 67) having a lumen extending therein (figure 8a, item 62), an insertion member slidably disposed in said lumen of said sheath portion and having a distal end portion capable of protruding from a distal end portion of said sheath portion (figure 8a, item 61), an injection needle disposed at said distal end portion of said insertion member for injecting a therapeutic composition into a target tissue in a living body (figure 8b, item 130), and a first electrode disposed at said distal end portion of said insertion member and spaced from a bevel of said injection needle disposed at an outer circumferential surface of said distal end portion of said insertion member for

Application/Control Number: 10/808,312 Page 3

Art Unit: 3763

measuring a cardiac action potential (figure 8a, item 65), and further comprising a second electrode disposed at said distal end portion of said sheath portion for measuring a cardiac action potential (figure 8a, item 66), wherein the electrodes are spaced apart from each other along the longitudinal direction of said insertion member (figure 8b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

Art Unit: 3763

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Page 4

- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers et al. (USPN 6,106,524).
- 9. [Claim 11] Eggers teaches the limitations of claim 7, upon which claim 11 depends. Eggers does not explicitly state that the electrode located on the distal portion of the insertion member is spaced greater than 1 mm from the distal end of the injection needle along the longitudinal direction. However, the spacing distance is a preferred design choice which, absent criticality, would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.
- 10. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers et al. (USPN 6,106,524), in view of Haim et al. (USPN 6,309,370).
- 11. [Claims 2 and 3] Eggers teaches the limitations of claim 1, upon which claims 2 and 3 depend. Eggers is silent on target cardiac tissue and therapeutic compositions of nucleic acid, proteins, or cells. However, Haim teaches an intracardiac drug delivery catheter which discloses target tissue to be cardiac tissue (column 5, lines 23-26) and an injected therapeutic composition containing a protein (growth factor) (column 4, lines 6-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the structure taught by Eggers with the use of cardiac tissue as target tissue and the therapeutic composition, as taught by Haim, in order to provide additional catheter capabilities allowing for alternative cardiac therapies.

Art Unit: 3763

12. Claims 12, 13, and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haim et al. (USPN 6,309,370), in view of Eggers et al. (USPN 6,106,524).

Page 5

13. [Claims 12, 13, and 15] Haim teaches a catheter (figure 1a, item 20) capable of being percutaneously inserted into a living body lumen, comprising: a sheath portion (figure 1a, item 26) containing a lumen, an insertion member (figure 1a, item 24) slidably disposed within the lumen of the sheath portion and having a distal end portion capable of protruding from a distal end portion of the sheath, an injection needle (figure 1a, item 24) located at the distal end of the insertion member for injecting a therapeutic composition into a target tissue, and an electrode (column 12, lines 28-31)(figure 1a, items 38) located at the distal end of the catheter which is capable of measuring cardiac action potential. Additionally, Haim teaches a puncture detection unit (figure 2) to which a first and second electrode are connected (figure 1a, items 38), which is capable of detecting the puncture (position) of the injection needle based on a measured cardiac action potential (column 12, lines 26-31). Haim is silent on the first electrode spaced from a bevel of an injection needle and a distal second electrode located on the side of the proximal end of the catheter relative to the first electrode. However, Eggers teaches a catheter comprising a first electrode disposed at said distal end portion of said insertion member and spaced from a bevel of said injection needle disposed at an outer circumferential surface of said distal end portion of said insertion member for measuring a cardiac action potential (figure 8a, item 65), and further comprising a second electrode disposed at said distal end portion of said sheath portion for measuring a cardiac action

Art Unit: 3763

potential (figure 8a, item 66), wherein the second electrode is disposed at a distal end portion of said catheter, and is located on the side of the proximal end of said catheter relative to said first electrode (figure 8b). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the structure taught by Haim with the location of the electrodes, as taught by Eggers, in order to provide increased flexibility in the detection of the position of the injection needle. In addition, Haim also discloses the insertion of the catheter, as well as the puncturing and injecting of a target tissue (column 9, lines 12-16). Additionally, Haim teaches that the injection of the therapeutic composition is based on the measured cardiac action potential of the electrodes (column 6, lines 9-10; see also column 9, lines 39-44).

Page 6

14. [Claims 16 and 17] Haim and Eggers teach the method steps of claim 15, upon which claims 16 and 17 depend. In addition, Haim teaches the method steps of bringing the distal end portion of the sheath portion into contact with the target tissue, thereby measuring and detecting a change in cardiac action potentials with the electrodes (column 12, lines 28-31). Furthermore, Haim discloses the method steps of utilizing the insertion member distally of the sheath in order to protrude the injection needle from the sheath, thereby allowing the injection needle to puncture the target tissue (column 8, lines 23-25). Haim also teaches the injection needle is capable of administering the therapeutic composition into the target tissue based on whether or not a change in cardiac action potential is detected (column 6, lines 8-10). Although Haim does not explicitly state that the electrodes are located at the distal end portion of the insertion member or the sheath portion, this amounts to a mere rearrangement of parts,

Art Unit: 3763

which has no patentable significance absent new or unexpected results (see MPEP 2144.04(VI(c)) or In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to rearrange the location of the electrodes in the method steps of Haim as an obvious matter of design choice.

Page 7

- 15. Claims 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eggers et al. (USPN 6,106,524), in view of Shapland et al. (WIPO 99/04851).
- 16. [Claims 4 and 5] Eggers teaches the limitations of claim 1, upon which claims 4 and 5 depend. Eggers is silent on a through-hole located on the distal end portion of the sheath, which communicates with the lumen. However, Shapland teaches a cardiac delivery catheter comprising a plurality of through-holes (outlet ports), located on the sheath portion of a catheter (figure 3, items 150), which communicates with the lumen. Additionally, Shapland discloses this plurality to be greater than a distance of 1mm from the end face of the distal portion of the sheath, along the longitudinal direction (page 8, lines 30-34). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the structure taught by Eggers with the through-hole structure taught by Shapland in order to provide the desired result of efficient drug delivery.
- 17. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haim et al. (USPN 6,309,370), in view of Eggers et al. (USPN 6,106,524), in further view of Shapland et al. (WIPO 99/04851).
- 18. [Claim 14] Haim and Eggers teach the limitations of claim 12, upon which claim14 depends. Haim and Eggers are silent on a second electrode which is provided as a

Art Unit: 3763

separate body independent from a catheter. However, Shapland discloses a second electrode which is a separate body independent from the catheter (page 8, lines 14-20; see also figure 4, items 162 and 164). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the structure taught by Haim and Eggers with the independent second electrode taught by Shapland in order to provide greater flexibility in the sensing capabilities of the catheter.

Response to Arguments

19. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-7 and 9-17 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

20. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,312 Page 9

Art Unit: 3763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JASON FLICK whose telephone number is (571)270-7024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:00am to 5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/J. F./ Examiner, Art Unit 3763 04/13/2009

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763